PR-595

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY College of Agriculture

2009 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report

G.L. Olson, S.R. Smith and G.D. Lacefield, UK Department of Plant and Soil Sciences; E. Vanzant, UK Department of Animal and Food Sciences

Introduction

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa) is the highest yielding, highest quality forage legume grown in Kentucky. It forms the basis of Kentucky's cash hay enterprise and is an important component in dairy, horse, beef, and sheep diets. Recent emphasis on its use as a grazing crop and the release of grazing tolerant varieties have raised the following question: Do varieties differ in tolerance to grazing? We have chosen to use the standard tolerance test recommended by the North American Alfalfa Improvement Conference. This test uses continuous heavy grazing to sort out differences in grazing tolerance in a relatively short period of time.

This report summarizes current research on the grazing tolerance of alfalfa varieties when subjected to continuous heavy grazing pressure during the grazing season. Table 6 shows a summary of all alfalfa varieties tested in Kentucky during the last 15 years. Go to the UK Forage Extension website at www.uky. edu/Ag/Forage to obtain electronic versions of all forage variety testing reports from Kentucky and surrounding states and from a large number of other forage publications.

Description of the Tests

Alfalfa variety tests for grazing tolerance were established in Lexington in the fall of 2005, 2006 and 2008. The soils at this location are well-drained silt loams and are well suited to alfalfa. Plots were 5 by 20 feet in a randomized complete block design, with each variety replicated six times. In each test, 20 lb/A of seed were planted into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. All seed lots were treated with metalaxyl fungicide and inoculated if not supplied with these treatments. Plots were grazed continuously beginning the first spring after seeding. Grazing pressure was maintained to keep plant height to less than 3 inches. In general, plots were grazed from April until mid-September. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth. Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season. Ratings were made in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Since trials were seeded in rows, persistence ratings were based on density within a row and not total ground cover. Pests (weeds and insects) were controlled so they would not limit yield or persistence. Fertilizers (lime, P, K, and Boron) were applied as needed. In each trial, Alfagraze was the grazing-tolerant check variety, and either Apollo or 5432 was the grazing-intolerant check variety.

Results and Discussion

Weather data for Lexington for 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 are presented in Table 1.

Data on percent stand are presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4. Statistical analyses were performed on all alfalfa yield data (including experimentals) to determine if the apparent differences are truly due to variety or just due to chance. Varieties not significantly different from the highest numerical value in a column are marked with one asterisk (*). To determine if two varieties are truly different, compare the difference between the two varieties to the Least Significant Difference (LSD) at the bottom of the column. If the difference is equal to or greater than the LSD, the varieties are truly different when grown under the conditions at a given location. The Coefficient of Variation (CV), which is a measure of the variability of the data, is included for

		20	06			20	07			20	80			20	09 ²	
	Tempe	erature	Rai	nfall	Tempe	rature	Raiı	nfall	Tempe	erature	Rair	nfall	Tempe	erature	Raiı	nfall
	°F	DEP ¹	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP	°F DEP		IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP
JAN	42	+11	4.77	+1.91	37	+6	2.93	+0.07	32	+2	3.91	+1.05	29	-2	4.32	+1.46
FEB	36	+1	2.13	-1.08	27	-8	1.83	-1.38	36 +1		6.11	+2.90	38	+3	2.53	068
MAR	44	0	3.05	-1.35	52	+8	1.97	-2.43	44	+1	6.51	+1.91	48	+4	2.39	-2.01
APR	59	+4	3.52	-0.36	53	-2	3.87	-0.01	55	0	5.89	+2.01	56	+1	4.79	+0.91
MAY	62	-2	2.99	-1.48	68	+4	1.45	-3.02	62	-2	4.33	+0.14	65	+1	6.04	+1.57
JUN	70	-2	1.82	-1.84	74	+2	1.77	-1.89	74	+2	3.59	-0.07	74	+2	5.19	+1.53
JUL	76	0	5.13	+0.13	74	-2	6.90	+1.90	76	0	3.41	-1.59	72	-4	7.57	+2.57
AUG	76	+1	3.23	-0.70	80	+5	2.56	-1.37	75	0	2.18	-1.75	73	-2	4.53	+0.60
SEP	64	-4	9.27	+6.07	72	+4	1.15	-2.05	72	+4	1.42	-1.78	69	+1	5.90	+2.70
OCT	54	-3	4.88	+2.31	63	+6	5.28	+2.71	57	0	1.53	-1.04	53	-4	5.77	+3.20
NOV	47	+2	1.78	-1.61	46	+1	2.86	0.53	43	-2	2.53	-0.86				
DEC	42	+6	2.45	-1.53	40	+4	5.29	+1.31	35	-1	6.03	+2.05				
Total			45.02	+0.47			37.86	-6.69			47.24	+2.69			49.03	+11.85

¹ DEP is departure from the long-term average.

² 2009 data is for ten months through October.



each column of means. Low variability is desirable, and increased variability within a study results in higher CVs and larger LSDs.

Apollo and 5432 have been used widely in trials as the grazing-intolerant varieties. Therefore, the response of these varieties provides a useful measure of the severity of the grazing pressure applied to the plots. In general, types developed for tolerance to grazing tolerated heavy grazing pressure better than hay types. Table 5 summarizes information about distributors, fall dormancy ratings, disease resistance information, and persistence across years for all varieties included in these tests.

Table 6 is a summary of stand persistence data from 1994 to 2009 of commercial varieties that have been entered in the Kentucky trials. The data for each specific trial is listed as a percentage of the grazing tolerant variety Alfagraze. In other words, in each trial Alfagraze is 100 percent—varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than Alfagraze and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than Alfagraze. Direct, statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the summary Table 6, but these comparisons do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years and at several locations have very stable performance; others may have performed very well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and the information can be found in the yearly reports. See footnote in Table 6 to determine which yearly report to refer to.

Table 2. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 8, 2005 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling				Percen	t Stand			
	Vigor ¹	20	06	20	07	20	08	20	09
Variety	Nov 7, 2005	Apr 17	Oct 20	Mar 30	Oct 16	Apr 7	Oct 15	Apr 8	Oct 9
Commercial	Varieties—Ava	ilable for	Farm Us	se					
Integrity	3.2	85	88	88	71	68	45	51	38*
TripleTrust 450	3.7	79	83	81	64	59	33	43	32*
Ameristand 403T	3.2	83	87	89	74	59	43	47	31*
Ameristand 407TQ	3.2	88	88	88	77	72	51	51	30*
Alfagraze	2.3	78	68	68	59	52	35	40	22
Spredor 3	3.5	83	78	76	60	53	40	40	15
Apollo	3.3	77	72	64	28	21	15	14	8
		,		,			,		
Mean	3.2	81.7	80.5	79.3	61.9	54.8	37.3	40.8	25.1
CV,%	33.6	10.5	11.2	12.8	17.6	29.4	44.0	42.1	38.4
LSD,0.05	1.3	10.2	10.6	12.0	12.9	19.0	19.3	20.3	11.4

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

Table 3. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 8, 2006 in a

	Seedling			Pe	rcent Sta	nd		
	Vigor ¹	2006	20	07	20	08	20	09
Variety	Oct 25, 2006	Oct 25	Mar 30	Oct 15	Apr 7	Oct 17	Apr 8	Oct 9
Commercial Varie	ties—Availabl	e for Farr	n Use					
Rugged	4.7	98	98	95	94	90	90	70*
Ameristand 403T	4.2	96	97	97	97	90	90	69*
Alfagraze	4.5	96	96	82	80	71	74	48
Rebel	4.5	98	99	91	89	81	75	38
Apollo	4.5	97	96	27	21	23	18	13
Experimental Var	ieties							
TS 4079	4.5	98	97	91	84	82	77	50
Mean	4.5	96.8	96.9	80.4	77.4	72.6	70.6	47.9
CV,%	10.7	3.5	2.6	10.6	10.8	13.1	13.9	25.2
LSD,0.05	0.6	4.1	3.0	10.1	9.9	11.3	11.7	14.4

Table 4. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 10, 2008 in a cattle grazing tolerance study at

Lexington, Kentuck	ay.			
	Seedling	Pe	ercent Star	nd
	Vigor ¹	2008	20	09
Variety	Oct 13, 2008	Oct 13	Apr 8	Oct 12
Commercial Varieti	es—Available fo	or Farm Us	e	
Ameristand 403T	4.0	100	100	95*
Alfagraze	4.2	100	100	93*
LegenDairy 5.0	4.7	100	100	93*
Spredor 4	4.5	100	100	93*
Apollo	4.5	100	100	91
Experimental Varie	ties			
GA-MPX	4.2	100	100	95*
Mean	4.3	100.0	100.0	93.4
CV,%	14.3	0.0	0.0	2.7
LSD,0.05	0.7	0.0	0.0	3.0
1 \/:		Г: а Г	.:	

Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most

^{*} Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.
 Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

vigorous seedling growth.
Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Summary

Measurements taken after multiple years of grazing in these trials indicate that alfalfa varieties have been developed that exhibit improved tolerance to heavy continuous grazing pressure compared to standard hay-type varieties. The grazing management imposed in these trials included continuous stocking from the initiation of grazing in spring until mid-September, when grazing was terminated for the season to allow stands to acclimate to winter. Heavy grazing pressure was used purposely in these trials to better differentiate among varieties for relative grazing tolerance. Research has shown that abusive grazing tests are a good way to sort out differences in grazing tolerance between varieties in a relatively short period of time. Recommended rotational grazing management would improve alfalfa forage productivity and stand persistence.

The information in this report should be used in conjunction with other yield, pest resistance, and adaptation information in selecting the best alfalfa varieties for use in each individual situation.

Good management for maximum life when grazing alfalfa includes:

- Allowing grazing alfalfa to become completely established before grazing.
- Using rotational grazing where animals harvest available forage in seven days or less, followed by resting for 28 days before regrazing.
- Adding any needed fertilizer and lime.
- Removing grazing livestock from alfalfa fields from mid-September until November 1 to replenish root reserves for winter survival.

Authors

- G.L. Olson, Research Specialist, Forages S.R. Smith, Extension Associate Professor, Forages
- G.D. Lacefield, Extension Professor, Forages
- E. Vanzant, Associate Professor, Beef Cattle Nutrition

			Varie	Variety Characteristics ¹	racteris	tics1					20053							2006			5	2008
	Proprietor/KY			Diseas	Disease Resistance ²	tance ²		Apr	Oct	Mar	Oct	Apr Oct	H	Apr Oct	t	r Oct	t Apr	ت 0	Apr	ö	Apr	Ö
Variety	Distributor	F D4	BW	Α	AN PRR		АРН	20065	ίν	2007		2008		2009		2007		2008	7	2009	7	2009
Commercial Varieti	Commercial Varieties—Available for Farm Use	se																				
Alfagraze	America's Alfalfa	4	MR	~	MR	LR	-	*	9×	×	×	*	*	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	*	*
Ameristand 403T	America's Alfalfa	4	H	품	光	H	~	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Ameristand 407TQ	America's Alfalfa							*	*	*	*	*	*	*								
Apollo	ABI/America's Alfalfa	4	Ж	æ	LR	æ	,	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	*	×	×	×	×	×	*	×
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa, Inc.	4	H	품	光	H	뚝	*	*	*	*	*	*	*								
LegenDairy 5.0	Croplan Genetics	m	H	H	H	H	품														*	*
Rebel	Target Seed	4	H	H	HR	H	뚝								*	*	*	*	×	×		
Rugged	Target Seed	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR		_						*	*	*	*	*	*		
Spredor 3	Syngenta	1	HR	HR	R	MR	S	*	*	*	×	*	*	×								
Spredor 4	Syngenta	7	H	H	HR	H	~														*	*
Triple Trust 450	ABI/America's Alfalfa	2	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	*	*	*	*	*	*	*								
Experimental Varieties	ties																					
GA-MPX	Univ. of Georgia																				*	*
TS 4079	Target Seed														*	*	×	*	×	×		
 Variety Characteris Disease Resistance 	1 Variety Characteristics: FD=Fall Dormancy, BW=Bacterial Wilt, FW=Fusarium Wilt, AN=Anthracnose, PRR=Phytophera Root Rot, APH=Aphanomyces Root Rot. 2 Disease Resistance: S=Susceptible, LR=Low Resistance, MR=Medium Resistance, R=Resistance, HR=High Resistance.	N=Bacte esistano	rial Wilt e, MR=A	, FW=Fu Medium	sarium Resista	Wilt, AN nce, R=R	=Anthra esistanc	icnose, e, HR=1	PRR=PI High Re	hytophe sistanc	era Root e.	Rot, APF	4=Aphε	nomyce	es Root	Rot.						
⁵ Establishment year. ⁴ Fall Dormancy: 2=V.	Establishment year. Fall Dormancy: 2=Vernal, 3=Ranger, 4=Saranac, 5=DuPuits.	ас, 5=Du	Puits.																			
 Date of rating percent stand. Y in the block indicates the y 	5 Date of rating percent stand. 6 Y in the block indicates the variety was in the test but the stand survival.	toct but	· the cta	Syllis	sevy levi	was cionificantly lace than the most parsistant variety	antly loc	t han t	o m o q	+ narcic	tont war	i V										
An open block indic	An open block indicates the variety was in the test bo	the test.	ו הוע זימ	5 5 5	ά. 	319111115	ביו עוויון	0	2	ניי ואל זפ	ב ב	יפֿרץ.										
* Not significantly d	* Not significantly different from the most persistent variety.	istent va	ariety.																			

Table 6. Summary	Table 6. Summary of Kentucky Alfalfa Grazing tri	Grazin	trials 1	als 1994-2009 (stand pe	9 (stanc	persiste	nce sho	wn as a	sercent	als 1994-2009 (stand persistence shown as a percent of the grazing tolerant Alfagraze) المعانية المعارية	azing to	olerant /	Alfagraz	e).				
			Ā	יברא כווס	מכובווא	2					ľ	rexiligion	1016					
				Disea	Disease Resistance ²	ance ²		19943,4	1996	1997	1998	2000	2000	2001	2004	2002	2006	Mean ⁵
Variety	Proprietor	G	Вw	Ŗ	An	PRR	АРН	3yr ⁶	3yr	4yr	3yr	2yr	3yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	(#trials)
ABT 205	W-L Research	2	HR	HR	HR	HR	В	94		84								89(2)
ABT 350	W-L Research	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR						46					1
ABT 405	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	В	71	129	69			46	100				83(5)
Alfagraze	Americas Alfalfa	2	MR	В	MR	R	ı	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(10)
Amerigraze 401+Z	Americas Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	R		120	53	99	76	85	125				78(6)
Ameristand 403T	Americas Alfalfa	4	품	H	HR	H	H									141	144	143(2)
Ameristand 407TQ	Americas Alfalfa															136		1
Apollo	Americas Alfalfa	4	~	æ	æ	æ	1	48	75	33	47	17	31	25		36	27	39(9)
Arc (certified)	Public	4	LR	MR	품	1	1		38									1
Baralfa 54	Barenbrug USA	ı	~	H	H	H	Ŧ				78							ı
Cut-n-Graze	Americas Alfalfa	m	뚶	Ŧ	H	Ŧ	~	89										ı
FK 421	Donley Seed Co.	4	품	I	I	I	I							100				1
Feast	Garst Seeds	ĸ	뚶	H	뚝	H	~		146			87	92					108(3)
Fortress	Syngenta	m	œ	æ	œ	Ŧ	~	40	71									56(2)
Gold Plus	PGI Alfalfa	4	H	H	뚝	품	æ				81							1
Grazeking	FFR/Southern States	2	MR	뚝	뚯	æ	S		91	41				50				61(3)
Haygrazer	Great Plains Research	4	¥	H	æ	æ	MR		75	39			38					51(3)
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR									172		1
Legacy	Green Seed	4	~	æ	ď	æ	~	32										1
Magnagraze	Dairyland Seed Co.	m	뚶	¥	~	품	1	56										1
Pasture Plus	MBS	æ	품	품	~	Ŧ	MR	09										ı
Pioneer 98	Pioneer	m	품	~	H	~	1				99							ı
ProGro	MBS Inc.	4	품	H	æ	H	MR				81							1
Quantum	ABI Alfalfa	2	H	HR	HR	HR	R	71										ı
Rebel	Target Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR										79	1
Rugged	Target Seed	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR										146	1
Rushmore	Syngenta	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	32										ı
Saranac AR (cert.)	Public	4	MR	~	H	LR	ı		77					100				89(2)
Spredor 3	Syngenta	1	HR	HR	R	MR	S	71	123		75					89		96(4)
Stampede	Allied Seed	3	HR	В	R	HR	В		73									ı
Triple Trust 450	ABI/America's Alfalfa	2	壬	H	¥	¥	HR									145		ı
Wintergreen	ABI Alfalfa	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	95		22	72							75(3)
WL 326GZ	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR		118		88							103(2)
115 Brand	Monsanto	3	HR	HR	В	HR	В					56	85					71(2)
5373	Pioneer	4	뚶	Ħ	HRT	MR	LR	21										ı
5432	Pioneer	4	H	¥	ı	MR	ı								51			ı
1 Variety characteris	1 Variety characteristics: FD=fall dormancy. Bw=bacterial wilt. Fw=fusarium wilt. An=anthracnose. PRR=bhytophthera root rot. APH-aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed	CV RWI	Lacterial	wilt Fw	-fileariir	A Tivy	nthrac	ad occu-	D-phytc	uhthara	root rot	A DH. an	handard	1002 200	rot Info	i doi+cm	Lobor Caro	bread

Variety characteristics: Public using now we will be a sistance, and a sistance.
 Variety characteristics: Public using now resistance, MR=moderate resistance, R=resistance.
 Companies.
 Losase resistance: S=susceptible, LR=low resistance, MR=moderate resistance, a sistance.
 Year trial was established.
 Vas this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in 1996 was grazed for 3 years so final persistence report would be "1999 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage website at <www.uky.edu/Ag/Forage>.
 Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.
 Number of years of data.

College of Agriculture Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.

KENTUCKY