

Four Beef-cattle Barn Flooring Options: A Case Study

Steve Higgins, Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering

Barn-floor design is critical to the physical and thermal comfort, health, and safety of cattle. Generally speaking, barn flooring is the surface on which an animal stands, lies down, and excretes its urine and manure. Therefore, to meet animal needs, it must be durable, not slippery, and well-drained as well as comfortable, warm, and dry. In addition to providing animal comfort, the flooring should easily be cleaned. No single material, from concrete to soil, meets all of these specifications. With these considerations in mind, a demonstration project at Eden Shale Farm in Owenton, Ky., examined how four different materials could be used to provide comfort and make manure management and removal more effective, since one goal was to prevent a loader bucket from removing the rock flooring due to gouging into the flooring. This case study examines the positive and negative aspects of four different flooring options.

Four barn-floor designs—a four-inch geocell, plastic grid, Mechanical Concrete®, and concrete cinder blocks—were demonstrated at the Eden Shale Farm, in Owenton, Ky. All of the treatments used filter fabric and rock (rock was used to provide infiltration, and filter fabric to provide reinforcement, friction, separation, and drainage.). Table 1 lists flooring treatments and material costs for each application. The cost of labor was not included.

Rock and Fabric Filter

The use of rock and filter fabric can reduce the cost of a flooring project. However, with aggressive and repeated

scraping, rock can be removed, which means it eventually will need to be replaced. After repeated reapplication of rock, these types of surfaces can be just as expensive as concrete over time. When there is a layer of manure and bedding, it is difficult to get a reapplication of rock to adhere to the old surface. Filter fabric and rock pads will eventually fail. The estimated lifespan of a heavy traffic pad is about 10 years with proper maintenance. With this in mind, a goal of this demonstration project was to show how different materials could be used with filter fabric and rock pad to extend the life of the flooring.

Geocell Material

A geocell product was available in four-inch and six-inch depths. The four-inch geocell material was used by laying down geotextile fabric. The geocell was stretched out and staked, using two-foot

stakes. Adjacent geocell sections were tied together using zip ties. Dense grade aggregate (DGA) was backfilled into the voids (Figure 1).

The geocell product walls seemed to be too flimsy for placing the rock. However, observations of the rock being placed showed that the geocell did hold up. How well it lasts will be determined later.

Plastic Grid with Filter Fabric Backing

A 4 ft by 150 ft roll of plastic grid with a geotextile backing was used to create this treatment. A base layer of filter fabric, topped with six inches of DGA was placed and leveled within two inches of the final grade. Four strips of a two-inch layer of interlocking plastic grid, with a filter fabric backing, was placed on the rock, connected, and then backfilled with DGA (Figure 2).



Figure 1. A four-inch geocell product was installed to create pervious flooring.

Table 1. Barn-floor treatments and costs.

Approximate Material Cost of Treatments Per Pen (780 sq. ft.)	
Plastic Grid (8" DGA + grid + fabric)	\$ 2,942
Concrete Cinder Blocks	\$ 1,548
4" Geocell (8" DGA + geocell +fabric)	\$ 1,481
Mechanical Concrete®	\$ 772

The ease of installing the plastic roll made it a nice choice. However, the gravel had to be completely level before adding the plastic grid with the geofabric backing. Otherwise, voids could produce deflection or an unwanted hollow feel when walking on it. Experience with other plastic grid materials indicate that, for this application, it would be easier and possibly better if we had used the roll without the fabric backing. This would allow the installer to lift the grid where needed, with the rock installed, which allows the rock to fall out, and create a level surface.

Mechanical Concrete

Mechanical Concrete® is a process in which the sidewalls of used truck tires are removed, leaving a tread cylinder. The tread cylinder was placed on top of a layer of geotextile fabric (Figure 3). DGA was then placed inside and around the tire voids. The goal was to have the top edge of the tire cylinder be at grade level.

The tires were arranged using a straight row pattern as opposed to an offset pattern. This layout resulted in a larger void between the tires compared to the offset pattern. It also required fewer tires. With this option, it appears that it would take a long time before a loader bucket could penetrate the thick edge pattern created by the tread cylinders. The cost difference between a traditional DGA and fabric application versus the Mechanical Concrete® was \$772 compared to a traditional filter fabric and rock pad, which would have cost \$716. The contribution that the tires provided to the treatment gives the impression that the lifespan of the floor was increased significantly compared to a traditional filter fabric and rock implementation, which is expected to last 10 years with proper maintenance. The addition of the tires cost \$56 which seems like a small price to pay for extending the life of the floor by several fold.

Cinder Blocks

The cinder-block treatment was created by laying down filter fabric, placing the blocks (open end up), and then backfilling with DGA (figures 4 and 5). This treatment should provide a 49 percent pervious surface. The surface of the



Figure 2. A plastic grid material backed with a geo-fabric material, which came in a roll 4 feet wide by 150 feet long, was used to create a pen treatment.



Figure 3. Mechanical Concrete® is a process by which tread cylinders are used to contain the rock and control lateral stress. Photo by Brett Childers



Figure 4. Cinder-block flooring treatment being placed.

blocks acts as the final edge or surface to facilitate manure removal with a bucket loader but without removing rock.

The cinder-block flooring treatment was the most labor intensive treatment with each block weighing approximately 30 lbs. After a few days, the surface of the DGA showed a noticeable amount of dampness (Figure 6). In addition to providing infiltration, cinder blocks absorb moisture from the environment. The blocks are analogous to a sponge as they wick moisture from the atmosphere or environment. A bench top lab study suggested that each block could store one-gallon of liquid. The observation of moisture does suggest that a disadvantage of this flooring might be that it draws moisture to the surface, near a lying animal and bedding. Additional observations and measurements would need to be collected to determine the outcome of this effect.

Summary

This case study demonstrated four floor designs for housing cattle that meet the animals' needs while facilitating manure removal, storage and handling. All of the treatments—four-inch geocell, plastic grid, Mechanical Concrete®, and concrete cinder blocks—would last longer than a fabric and rock pad. However, the concrete block flooring showed significant dampness and, because of the weight of the blocks, was hard to manage and labor intensive. Both the geocell and plastic grid were more expensive initially than other options but drained well, which would reduce bedding costs over time. Mechanical Concrete® was the easiest to install and was very cost-effective considering the additional years it could add to a fabric and rock underlayment.



Figure 5. Backfilling and plate compacting DGA into cinder block voids.



Figure 6. Moisture present in the cinder-block flooring treatment.