# 2018 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report

G.L. Olson, S.R. Smith, C.D. Teutsch, and J.C. Henning, Plant and Soil Sciences, and J.D. Clark, Animal and Food Sciences

### Introduction

Red clover (Trifolium pratense L.) is a high-quality, short-lived perennial legume that is used in mixed or pure stands for pasture, hay, silage, soil improvement, and wildlife habitat. This species is adapted to a wide range of climatic and soil conditions. Stands of improved varieties are generally productive for two and a half to three years, with the highest yields occurring in the year following establishment. Red clover is used primarily as a renovation legume for grass pastures. It is a dominant forage legume in Kentucky because it is relatively easy to establish and has high forage quality, high yield, and animal acceptance.

White clover (*Trifolium repens L*.) is a low-growing, perennial pasture legume with white flowers. It differs from red clover in that the stems (stolons) grow along the surface of the soil and can form adventitious roots that may lead to the development of new plants. Three types of white clover grow in Kentucky: Dutch,

		2	015			2	016			2	017			20	018 <sup>2</sup>	
	Ter	mp	Rair	nfall	Te	mp	Rai	nfall	Te	mp	Raiı	nfall	Te	mp	Raiı	nfall
	°F	DEP <sup>1</sup>	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP
JAN	32	+1	2.17	-0.69	32	+1	0.80	-2.06	40	+9	6.81	+3.95	31	0	2.01	-0.85
FEB	26	-9	3.08	-0.13	38	+3	6.09	+2.88	47	+12	4.46	+1.25	45	+10	9.77	+6.56
MAR	45	+1	7.34	+2.94	52	+8	4.07	-0.33	48	+4	3.34	-1.06	42	-2.	5.16	+0.76
APR	57	+2	13.19	+9.31	57	+2	3.97	+0.09	62	+7	4.17	+0.29	50	-5	5.52	+1.64
MAY	69	+5	3.02	-1.45	64	0	9.17	+4.70	66	+2	7.74	+3.27	73	+9	8.39	+3.92
JUN	75	+3	8.20	+4.54	76	+4	5.09	+1.43	73	+1	7.68	+4.02	76	+4	6.42	+2.76
JUL	77	+1	10.22	+5.22	79	+3	7.43	+2.43	76	0	4.49	-0.51	77	+1	6.15	+1.15
AUG	74	-1	3.49	-0.44	79	+4	4.37	+0.44	74	-1	6.66	+2.73	77	+2	6.45	+2.52
SEP	72	+4	3.49	+0.29	74	+6	2.18	-1.02	69	+1	4.72	+1.52	74	+6	12.88	+9.68
0CT	59	+2	2.78	+0.21	64	+7	0.37	-2.20	60	+3	6.06	+3.49	59	+2	6.54	+3.97
NOV	51	+6	3.72	+0.33	51	+6	1.94	-1.45	47	+2	3.09	-0.30				
DEC	49	+13	8.42	+4.44	37	+1	9.4	+5.42	35	-1	2.66	-1.32				
Total			69.12	+24.57			54.88	+10.33			61.88	+17.33			69.29	+32.11

Table 1 Temperature and rainfall at Levington, Kentucky in 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018

University of Kentucky College of Agriculture, Food and Environment

Agricultural Experiment Station

DEP is departure from the long-term average.

<sup>2</sup> 2018 data is for the ten months through October.

Table 2. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 8, 2016, in a cattle
grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling			Percent Stand		
	Vigor <sup>1</sup>	2016	20	17	20	18
Variety	Oct 4, 2016	Oct 4	Mar 15	Oct 11	Mar 15	Sep 26
<b>Commercial Va</b>	rieties-Available fo	r Farm Use				
Freedom!	4.8	100	93	75	43	16*
Kenland	4.9	99	97	65	56	13*
SS0303RCG	4.9	100	97	90	80	11*
Experimental \	/arieties					
GA9908	4.8	100	89	81	58	10*
Mean	4.9	100	94	78	59	12
CV,%	5.8	1	4	13	27	59
LSD,0.05	0.3	1	5	13	19	9

Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

\*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

intermediate, and ladino. Dutch white clover, sometimes called common, naturally occurs in many Kentucky pastures and even lawns. It is generally long lived and reseeds readily, but its small leaves and low growth habit result in low forage yield. The intermediate type is a cross between ladino and Dutch white clover and has been developed to give higher yields than the Dutch type and to persist better than the ladino type under pasture or frequent grazing conditions. Ladino white clover has larger leaves and taller growth than the intermediate and Dutch types and is the highest yielding of the three white clover types.

This report summarizes research on the grazing tolerance of clover varieties when subjected to continuous grazing pressure. Table 10 shows a summary of all white clover varieties tested in Kentucky during the last 15 years. Due to minimal stands remaining after two years of grazing, a summary table for red clover is not included in this report. Go to the UK Forage Extension website, at forages.ca.uky.edu, to obtain electronic versions of all forage variety testing reports from Kentucky and surrounding states and a large number of other forage publications.

### Important Selection Considerations

**Local adaptation and persistence.** The variety should be adapted to Kentucky as indicated by superior performance across years and locations in replicated yield trials such as those reported in this publication. High-yielding varieties are generally also those varieties that are the most persistent. Improved red clover generally produces measurable yields for 2.5 to 3 years, with the year of establishment considered as the first year. The highest yields occur in the year following establishment. White clover generally persists longer than red clover, particularly in wet seasons and has the ability to reseed even

Agricultural Experiment Station under grazing. Refer to the 2018 Red and White Clover Report (PR-744) (or previous years if needed) for yield data on specific varieties of interest.

**Seed quality.** Buy premium-quality seed that is high in germination and purity and free from weed seed. Buy certified seed or proprietary seed of an improved variety. An improved variety is one that has performed well in independent trials, such as those reported in this publication. Other information on the label will include the test date (which must be within the previous nine months), the level of germination, and the percentage of other crop and weed seed. Order seed well in advance of planting time to assure that it will be available when needed.

### **Description of the Tests**

Red clover (fall of 2016 and 2017) and white clover (fall of 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017) tests for grazing were established in Lexington. Soils at the test site are well-drained silt loams and are well suited to clover production. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design with each variety replicated six times.

Red clover was seeded at the rate of 12 pounds per acre and white clover at 3 pounds per acre into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. All seed lots were inoculated prior to planting. Plots were grazed continuously beginning the spring after fall seeding. In general, plots were grazed from mid-May to mid-September to a height of 1 to 3 inches. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth.

Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season. Ratings were made in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Since trials were seeded in rows, persistence ratings were based on

## Table 3. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 9, 2017, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling	Percent Stand				
	Vigor <sup>1</sup>	2017	20	18		
Variety	Oct 11, 2017	Oct 11	Mar 14	Sep 26		
<b>Commercial Varieti</b>	es-Available for Farm	n Use				
Kenland	4.9	100	100	65*		
SS0303RCG	4.9	100	99	65*		
Gallant	4.7	100	99	47		
Freedom!	5.0	100	99	45		
<b>Experimental Varie</b>	ties					
RC0705G	4.8	100	99	81*		
GA1402	4.5	100	97	58		
GA9908	5.0	100	97	53		
GATPCP	4.7	100	99	52		
GA1403	3.0	97	97	51		
GA1401	4.9	100	97	47		
Mean	4.6	100	98	56		
CV,%	8.2	1	2	30		
LSD,0.05	0.4	1	2	19		

<sup>1</sup> Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

\*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

density within a row and not on total ground cover. Fertilizers (lime, P, K, and boron) were applied according to University of Kentucky recommendations.

### **Results and Discussion**

Weather data for Lexington is presented in Table 1.

Data on percent stand are presented in Tables 2 through 7. Statistical analyses were performed on these data to determine if the apparent differences are truly due to variety or just due to chance. Varieties not significantly different from the highest

		Percent Stand											
	2014	20	015	20	16	20	17	20	18				
Variety	Nov 3	Apr 6	Oct 30	Mar 24	Oct 17	Mar 22	Oct 19	Mar 20	Sep 26				
<b>Commercial Va</b>	rieties-Availab	le for Farm U	se										
Durana	83	91	91	86	69	48	64	59	65*				
Seminole	93	87	87	78	53	43	48	47	62*				
Canterbury	97	90	90	72	68	48	53	43	58*				
Will	94	86	86	88	66	57	63	54	58*				
Kopu II	96	93	93	87	58	43	53	47	55				
Patriot	87	93	93	90	78	53	62	53	55				
Alice	91	92	92	85	53	35	53	47	52				
RegalGraze	93	93	93	81	65	51	58	50	50				
Renovation	90	92	92	89	73	50	57	43	45				
Experimental V	arieties												
GA21160	92	88	88	88	68	50	68	60	70*				
B-12.1216	90	93	93	91	71	45	52	45	65*				
PPG-TR101	72	88	88	89	68	45	66	58	65*				
SSS-SH1	84	91	91	88	65	50	65	61	63*				
GA-178	94	90	90	88	70	47	60	53	58*				
VS-41730	94	77	77	76	53	42	50	43	58*				
NFWC04-29	94	94	94	87	70	47	50	40	52				
Mean	90	90	90	85	65	47	58	50	58				
CV,%	9	8	8	10	21	31	24	29	19				
LSD,0.05	9	8	8	10	16	17	16	17	13				

Table 4. Stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 9, 2014, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

\*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

numerical value in a column are marked with one asterisk (\*). To determine if two varieties are truly different, compare the difference between the two varieties to the least significant difference (LSD) at the bottom of the column. If the difference is equal to or greater than the LSD, the varieties are truly different when grown under the conditions at a given location. The coefficient of variation (CV), which is a measure of the variability of the data, is included for each column of means. Low variability is desirable, and increased variability within a study results in higher CVs and larger LSDs.

Tables 8 and 9 summarize information about distributors and persistence across years for all red and white clover varieties included in these tests.

Table 10 is a summary of stand persistence data from 2002 to 2018 of commercial white clover varieties that have been entered in the Kentucky trials. The data are listed as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties entered in each specific trial. In other words, ,the mean for each trial is 100 percent-varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than average. Direct, statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the Table 10 summary, but these comparisons do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years have very stable performance; others may have performed very well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and the information can be found in the yearly reports. See footnote in Table 10 to determine the yearly report that should be referenced. Due to minimal stands remaining after two years of grazing, a summary table for red clover is not included in this report.

#### Summary

Although these varieties were abused during the growing season, they were allowed to rest and regrow after September 15 to prepare for winter. Research has shown that abusive grazing tests are a good way to sort out differences in grazing tolerance between varieties in a relatively short period of time.

This information should be used along with yield and pest resistance information in selecting the best clover variety for each individual use. It is not recommended that clover be continuously grazed as was done in this trial. While

Table 5. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 3, 2015, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling Vigor <sup>1</sup>			P	ercent Star	nd		
	Oct 21,	2015	20	16	20	17	20	18
Variety	2015	Dec 11	Mar 24	Oct 5	Mar 22	Oct 12	Mar 15	Sep 26
Commercial \	/arieties-Ava	ailable for	Farm Use					
Patriot	2.0	88	90	94	94	93	82	80*
Durana	3.2	91	91	95	95	90	81	78*
Kopu II	4.5	96	95	88	87	84	73	77*
Will	4.2	96	98	93	92	87	82	73*
Neches	4.0	95	95	96	94	88	78	72*
Alice	3.7	77	94	94	91	85	78	70
Renovation	1.2	71	68	78	77	72	65	66
RegalGraze	4.3	97	97	87	83	74	60	62
Experimenta	Varieties							
BARTRALRG	3.9	93	95	92	88	83	76	71*
GA-178	2.3	90	90	87	88	79	69	63
Mean	3.3	89	91	90	89	83	74	71
CV,%	26.7	14	8	6	7	6	12	11
LSD,0.05	1.0	15	8	7	7	6	10	9

<sup>1</sup> Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.\*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 6. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 8,
2016, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling		F	ercent Stan	d	
	Vigor <sup>1</sup>	2016	20	17	2018	
Variety	Oct 4, 2016	Oct 4	Mar 15	Oct 11	Mar 15	Sep 26
<b>Commercial Va</b>	rieties-Available	for Farm Use	2			
Durana	3.5	97	95	97	97	99*
Patriot	3.6	97	97	98	98	97*
Will	4.5	100	98	94	94	94*
Kopu II	4.8	100	89	94	94	92
Alice	3.5	96	94	95	94	87
RegalGraze	5.0	100	95	90	88	83
Mean	4.2	98	95	95	94	92
CV,%	11.0	2	2	4	4	5
LSD,0.05	1.0	2	3	4	4	6

<sup>1</sup> Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth. \*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 7. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 9, 2017, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

	Seedling		Percent Stand	
	Vigor <sup>1</sup>	2017	20	18
Variety	Oct 11, 2017	Oct 11	Mar 14	Sep 26
<b>Commercial Variet</b>	ies-Available for Farm Us	se		
Kakariki	4.7	99	98	97*
Durana	3.8	97	97	97*
Alice	3.9	96	96	97*
Patriot	3.2	95	95	96*
Renovation	3.6	96	95	96*
Will	4.3	97	98	95*
RegalGraze	4.8	99	99	92
<b>Experimental Varie</b>	eties			
NFWC04-29	3.7	97	97	95*
Mean	4.0	97	97	95
CV,%	18.5	2	2	3
LSD,0.05	0.9	2	2	4

<sup>1</sup> Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth. \*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD. several varieties expressed tolerance to the level of grazing pressure used in these trials, overgrazing greatly reduces yield and therefore profitability of these clovers.

Good management for maximum life from grazing clover would include:

- Allowing clover to become completely established before grazing
- Using rotational grazing where animals harvest available forage in 7 days or less followed by resting for 28 days before regrazing; less time is required for white clover
- Adding any needed fertilizer and lime

 Removing grazing livestock from clover fields from mid-September to November 1 to replenish root reserves for winter survival, especially important with red clover

### **About the Authors**

G.L. Olson is a research specialist, S.R. Smith and J.C. Henning are Extension professors and forage specialists, C.D. Teutsch is an Extension associate professor and forage specialist, and J.D. Clark is research facility manager of the UK Dairy.

Table 8. Summary of persistence of red clover varieties under heavy grazing pressure across years a
Lexington, Kentucky.

			20	2017			
	Proprietor/KY	Mar	Oct	Mar	Sep	Mar	Sep
Variety	Distributor	20	17 <sup>2</sup>	20	18	Mar 20 * * * * * * * *	18
<b>Commercial Variet</b>	ties-Available for Farn	n Use					
Freedom!	Barenbrug USA	*	x <sup>3</sup>	x	*	*	х
Gallant	Turner Seed					*	х
Kenland (certified)	Public	*	х	x	*	*	*
SS-0303RCG	Southern States	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Experimental Vari</b>	eties						
GA1401	Univ. of Georgia					х	х
GA1402	Univ. of Georgia					х	х
GA1403	Univ. of Georgia					х	х
GA9908	Univ. of Georgia	х	*	x	*	х	х
GATPCP	Univ. of Georgia					*	х
RC0705G	Hood River Seeds					*	*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Establishment year.

<sup>2</sup> Date of rating of percent stand.
<sup>3</sup> "x" in the block indicates the variety was in the test but the stand survival was significantly less than the most persistent red clover variety. An open block indicates the variety was not in the test.

\*Not significantly different from the most persistent red clover variety.

						20	14 <sup>1</sup>						20	15				20	16		20	17
		Proprietor/KY	Apr	Oct	Mar	Oct	Mar	Oct	Mar	Sep	Mar	Oct	Mar	Oct	Mar	Sep	Mar	Oct	Mar	Sep	Mar	Sep
Variety	Туре	Distributor	20	15 <sup>2</sup>	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	16	20	17	20	18	20	17	20	18	20	18
Commercial	Varieties-Avail	able for Farm Use																				
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug	*	*	*	X <sup>3</sup>	*	*	*	х	*	*	*	х	*	х	х	*	*	х	*	*
Canterbury	Dutch	Allied Seed	*	*	х	*	*	*	х	*												
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington Seed	х	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Kakariki																					*	*
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed	*	*	*	х	*	*	*	х	*	х	*	х	*	*	х	*	*	х		
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington Seed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	х	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	х	*
Neches	Intermediate	Barenbrug									*	*	*	*	*	*						
Regal Graze	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	х	*	х	х	х	х	х	*	х	х	х	*	х
Renovation	Intermediate	Smith Seed	*	*	*	*	*	*	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х					х	*
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte/Caudill Seed	*	*	х	х	*	х	*	*												
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Experimenta	I Varieties																					
B-12.1216	-	Blue Moon Farms	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*												
BARTRALRG	—	Barenbrug									*	*	*	х	*	*						
GA 178	-	Smith Seed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	х	*	х	х	х						
GA 21160	-	Univ of Georgia	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*												
NFWC04-29	—	Noble Foundation	*	*	*	*	*	х	*	х											*	*
PPG-TR101	-	Mountain View Seeds	х	*	*	*	*	*	*	*												
SSS-SH1	Ladino	Smith Seed	х	*	*	*	*	*	*	*												
VS-41730	Ladino	Turner Seed	х	х	х	х	*	х	х	*												

<sup>1</sup> Establishment year.

<sup>2</sup> Date of rating of percent stand.

<sup>3</sup> "x" in the block indicates the variety was in the test but the stand survival was significantly less than the most persistent white clover variety. An open block indicates the variety was not in the test.

\*Not significantly different from the most persistent white clover variety.

			2002 <sup>1,2</sup>	2004	2006 <sup>3</sup>	2006	20084	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Mean <sup>5</sup>
Variety	Type	Proprietor	2yr <sup>6</sup>	4yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	(#trials)
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		59	98									93	97	95	88(5)
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		118	91	151											120(3)
Canterbury	Dutch	Allied Seed											51	93			72(2)
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR		114	134	122											123(3)
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West	84			72											78(2)
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington		83	105	103		115	102	107	126	86	81	113	108	108	103(12)
GWC-AS10	I	Ampac Seed								77							I
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed				77											I
lvory	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed	132	142													137(2)
lvory II	Intermediate	Intermediate DLF Pickseed					102										I
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed		L	77	122	96		63	113	112	86	106	93	107	100	100(11)
KY Select	Intermediate	KY Agr Ex. Sta.						105		83							94(2)
Neches	I	Barenbrug USA													100		I
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington		110	137	122		100	111	110	123	102	132	109	111	105	114(12)
Pinnacle	Ladino	Allied Seed									87						I
Rampart	Ι	Oregro Seeds						90									I
Regal	Ladino	Public	92		57	54		93		103							80(5)
Regal Graze Ladino	Ladino	Cal/West			84	87	105	90	87	93	72	94	81	102	86	60	89(12)
Renovation	Intermediate	Smith Seed											102	100	91		98(3)
Resolute	Intermediate	Southern States			101	106					65						91(3)
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.		75		97	91						89	85			97(5)
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	92														I
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.								70							I
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed			117	87	107	105	108	143	115	133	157	111	101	102	116(12)
<sup>1</sup> Year trial wa	<sup>1</sup> Year trial was established.																

est
÷
e
÷
Е.
es
ti
riet
var
2
la
2
e
mm
5
Ŭ
Ę
Ξ
5
n
me
Ε
he
÷
ę
÷
cen
Ľ,
pe
a
S
٦a
own
õ
۲.
e
Ĕ
te
is
SL
å
σ
n
Ste
-
5
g
2
exi
Ľ
Е.
Ś
a
trial
ce trial
nce trial
ce tri
lerance tri
ce tri
g tolerance tri
ing tolerance tri
g tolerance tri
zing tolerance tri
zing tolerance tri
rer grazing tolerance tri
clover grazing tolerance tri
clover grazing tolerance tri
clover grazing tolerance tri
rer grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
iite clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
r of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
. Summary of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
. Summary of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri
le 10. Summary of 2002-2018 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance tri

5

Year trial was established.
Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence rations, low in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in 2010 was grazed for 4 years so the final persistence report would be "2014 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the KY Forage website at <forages.ca.uky.edu>.
This trial was planted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment of the fall 2007 planting.
This trial was planted in the spring of 2008 due to poor establishment of the fall 2007 planting.
Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.
Number of years of data.



Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.